



---

# Technical Exchange Meeting on Digital Orthophotos

# Digital Orthophoto TEM

---

- Background
- Purpose
- Basis of USGS Products
- Basic Photogrammetric Mapping Process
- DEM Origins and Accuracy
- NAPP
- Evolution of Orthophoto Production Systems

# Digital Orthophoto TEM

---

- DOQ Production Process
- Theoretical Accuracy of DOQs
- Actual Accuracy Tests
- Rules of thumb
- Closing remarks
- Discussion

# Digital Orthophoto TEM

---

- Background
  - They said, He said, I said
  - Perhaps over-statement
  - Perhaps over-reaction
- Purpose: Clarify understanding of basic process, what we have, and what we can do

## Digital Orthophoto TEM

- USGS Geospatial data largely acquired via a photogrammetric process
  - 7.5-minute topographic maps
  - DLG/DRG
  - DEM
  - DOQ

# Digital Orthophoto TEM

---

- General photogrammetric process
  - Perspective camera
  - Stereo coverage
  - Parallax is key
  - DOQ is an approximation of a true orthophoto
  - Basic photogrammetric problem is recovering orientation of camera

---

# Space Resection Projective Geometry

“The probable error of a single setting is 1/5 to 1/6 of the distance between two lines which are just resolved.”<sup>1</sup>

Coordinate measurement on NAPP film:

$$\frac{1 \text{ mm}}{27 \text{ lp}} \frac{1 \text{ lp}}{5} = 0.007 \text{ mm} = \sigma_x = \sigma_y$$

Location measurement on film:

$$\sigma_l = \left( \sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 \right)^{1/2} = 0.010 \text{ mm}$$

Elevation parallax measurement on film:

$$\sigma_p = \left( \sigma_{x_1}^2 + \sigma_{x_2}^2 \right)^{1/2} = 1.4 \sigma_x = 0.010 \text{ mm}$$

# Theoretical Map Precision <sup>2</sup>

Location:

$$\sigma_L = \frac{H}{f} \quad \sigma_l = \frac{6096}{152.4} \quad 0.01 = 0.4 \text{ m}$$

# Theoretical Map Precision

Elevation:

$$\sigma_E = \frac{H}{f} \frac{H}{B} \sigma_p = \frac{6096}{152.4} \frac{1}{0.57} 0.01 = 0.7 \text{ m}$$

# Theoretical Map Precision

Contour Interval (CI):

$$CI = 3.3 \sigma_E = 3.3 (0.7) = 2.3 \text{ m}$$

# Practical Map Accuracy

Location: Depends primarily on adjustment of stereo model to control (absolute orientation). Practical errors are two times theoretical.

$$\sigma_L \approx 2 (0.4m) = 0.8 m$$

# Practical Map Accuracy

Elevation: Depends upon type of stereo-plotting instrument employed. Accuracy of instruments is defined by: <sup>3</sup>

$$c - factor = \frac{H}{Contour\ Interval}$$

<u>Instrument</u>	<u>C-factor</u>	<u>Contour Interval (meters)</u>
Kelsh	1200	5.1
B-8	1300	4.7
PG-2	1600	3.8
AS-11	2000	3.0
Typical	1800	3.3

DOQ Accuracy: <sup>4</sup>

$$S_{doq}^2(y) = S_{at}^2(y) + \frac{y^{*2}}{3H^2} S_{dem}^2$$

$$S_{at} = \pm 2 \text{ meters}$$

$$S_{dem} = \pm 7 \text{ meters}$$

$$H = 20,000 \text{ ft for NAPP}$$

$$y^* = 11,336 \text{ ft for quarter-quad}$$

$$S_{doq}^2(y) = (2 \text{ m})^2 + \frac{(11,336 \text{ ft})^2}{3 (20,000 \text{ ft})^2} (7 \text{ m})^2$$

$$S_{doq}^2(y) = (2 \text{ m})^2 + [0.11] (7 \text{ m})^2 = 4 + 5.39$$

or

$$S_{doq}(y) = \pm 3 \text{ meters}$$

## DOQ Accuracy: <sup>5</sup>

$$\sigma_{y_T}^2 = f^2 \left[ \frac{1}{(Z - Z_L)} \sigma_{Y_L}^2 + \frac{Y^{*2}}{3(Z - Z_L)^4} \sigma_{Z_L}^2 + \frac{Y^{*2}}{3(Z - Z_L)^4} \sigma_Z^2 \right]$$

$\sigma_{Y_L}$  and  $\sigma_{Z_L} = 2.0$  meters = 6.56 ft

$\sigma_Z = 7.0$  meters = 22.96 ft (DEM rmse)

$Y^* = 11,384$  ft (equal to the maximum ground Y extent, 1.875 minutes, at 40° latitude on the Clarke 1866 ellipsoid)

or

$$\sigma_{y_T}^2 = (0.5 \text{ ft})^2 \left[ \frac{1}{(20,000 \text{ ft})^2} (6.56 \text{ ft})^2 + \frac{(11,384 \text{ ft})^2}{3 (20,000 \text{ ft})^4} (6.56 \text{ ft})^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(11,384 \text{ ft})^2}{3 (20,000 \text{ ft})^4} (22.96 \text{ ft})^2 \right]$$

$$\sigma_{y_T}^2 = 6.54 \times 10^{-8} \text{ ft}^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_{y_T} = 2.56 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ft}$$

Converting to ground units by multiplying by 40,000 yields the following error in the DOQ y-coordinate direction:

$$\sigma_{Y_T} = 10.23 \text{ ft} = \pm 3.12 \text{ meters}$$

This value falls well within the NMAS tolerance of 4.73 meters for a 1:12,000-scale product.

For different DEM accuracies:

<u>DEM (rmse)</u>	<u><math>\sigma_{Y_T}</math></u>
7 meters	3.19
6	2.88
5	2.67
4	2.48
3	2.32
2	2.20
1	2.13

## What's it worth and can you afford it?:

Estimated \$165M for 55,000 new 3-meter DEMs to achieve less than 1 meter in additional horizontal accuracy (Based on using photogrammetric methods using existing NAPP photography and \$3,000 per 7.5-minute DEM).

# Digital Orthophoto TEM

- Basic Photogrammetric Mapping Process:
  - Acquire required imagery
  - Establish optimum (i.e., minimum) amount of field control
  - Extend field control via aerotriangulation process to re-establish orientation of camera
  - Collect clinometric and elevation data from stereo-models

# Digital Orthophoto TEM

---

- DEM Origins:
  - Gestalt Photomapper II (GPM-2)
  - Profiling instruments (e.g., Wild PPO-8 and PEB8)
  - Contour conversions
  - Photogrammetric collection
  - New technologies (i.e, LIDAR and IFSAR)

# Digital Orthophoto TEM

---

- DEM Accuracy:
  - 7-meter rmse, 30-meter post spacing
  - DEMs were derivatives from NHAP orthophotos
  - Comes from accuracy of 40-foot contour sheets
  - Reference to 10-meter DEM is misleading
  - It's a 7-meter rmse DEM with 10-meter posts

# Digital Orthophoto TEM

---

- National Aerial Photography Program (NAPP):
  - 20,000 ft flight height with 6-inch focal length camera (resulting in 1:40,000-scale photography)
  - Quarter-quad centered
  - B&W and CIR
  - Leaf-off *vs.* leaf-on

# Digital Orthophoto TEM

- Evolution of Orthophoto Production Systems:
  - T-64 Orthophotoscope
  - Gestalt Photomapper II
  - Wild PPO-8
  - Wild OR-1
  - Off-line Orthophoto Production System (OLOPS)
  - Digital Orthophotos

# Digital Orthophoto TEM

---

- DOQ Production Process:
  - In general, it is the same as the photogrammetric mapping process
  - After determining orientation of the exposure station and using an DEM, each pixel of a digital image is “re-projected” into its proper location, removing displacements due to relief

---

# DOQ Processing

How high can you fly? <sup>10</sup>

$$\frac{\sigma_h}{H} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \sigma}{(B/H) f}$$

$\sigma$  = standard error of measured coordinates

For regular vertical photography,  $B/H = 0.6$  and  $f = 150$  mm:

$$\sigma_h = \frac{\sqrt{2} (0.03)}{0.6 \times 150} H = 0.000471 H \approx 0.05\% H$$

For NAPP Photography:

$$\sigma_h = 0.05\%(20,000) = 10 \text{ ft} \text{ or } 3.05 \text{ meters}$$

Similarly, the standard error of the horizontal position:

$$\sigma_R = \frac{H \sigma}{f} \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_R = 0.02\% H$$

For NAPP photography:

$$\sigma_R = 0.0002 (20,000) = 4.0 \text{ ft} = 1.2 \text{ meters}$$

# Digital Orthophoto TEM

---

- Rules of thumb:
  - Vertical accuracy: 0.02% to 0.05% of flying height
  - Horizontal accuracy: 0.01% to 0.02% of flying height
  - Use C-factor of 1800 or less

# Digital Orthophoto TEM

---

- Closing remarks:
  - Best overall results given where we started and the DEMs that were used
  - Need for better, faster and cheaper
  - Can we afford it and will it be timely?
  - Additional field control will not improve aerotriangulation results
  - In general, flying lower gives you better results

# Digital Orthophoto TEM

---

- Closing remarks (cont'd):
  - Flying lower means more cost
  - Image resolution is a bigger factor than absolute accuracy
  - True quality assurance difficult

# Digital Orthophoto TEM

---

- Future DOQ questions:
  - George Lee  
650-329-4255  
gylee@usgs.gov

## References

1. Gardner, I.C., 1932, The Optical Requirements of Airplane Mapping, *Bureau of Standards Journal*, Vol 8, p. 448.
2. Doyle, Fred, 1990, Cartographic Potential of NAPP Photography for Stereo Mapping, *Unpublished USGS notes*, Reston, VA.
3. Light, Don, 1999, C-Factor for Softcopy Photogrammetry, *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, June 1999.
4. Chapman, William, 1992, Error Propagation Study: Orthophotoquads, *Unpublished USGS internal document*, Reston, VA.
5. Craun, Kari, 1992, Error Propagation in Orthorectified Imagery Using the Collinearity Condition, *Unpublished USGS internal document*, Reston, VA.
6. Burton, Leland, 1998, How Accurate are the Digital Orthophotography Quarter Quadrangles (DOQ)?, *Presented at the Florida Joint URISA, FSMS, and Florida ASPRS Conference*.
7. Wells, Gordon, 1999, Precision Image Mapping: Georeferenced Imagery Produced from High-Resolution Orthoimages, *Paper presented at the ESRI Conference*.
8. Wells, Gordon, 2000, New Options for 2<sup>nd</sup> Generation Orthoimagery, *Presented at Texas Geographic Information Council Meeting*, November 2000.
9. ASPRS Specifications and Standards Committee, 1989, ASPRS Accuracy Standards for Large-Scale Maps, *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, pp. 1068-1070.
10. Moffitt, Francis, 1980, *Photogrammetry*, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Harper and Row, New York, pp. 198-200.
11. Light, Don, 1993, The National Aerial Photography Program as a Geographic Information System Resource, *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, Vol. 59, No. 1, pp. 61-65.